# Manuscript evaluators for JLAG

Thank you for agreeing to review the enclosed paper which has been submitted for publication in the <i>Journal of</i>
Latin American Geography. We would appreciate receiving your evaluation and comments and any anonymous
recommendations to the authors, on a separate page, within SIX weeks of receipt. Your review may be returned as
an attachment via electronic mail to

### Scope of the Journal

During your review, please recall that the *Journal's* request for submissions seeks original and well-written manuscripts focusing on geographical issues in Latin America, primarily from geographers and other scholars in the social and physical sciences, as well as the humanities, who are researching and writing about geographic topics concerning Latin America or Latin Americans. The *Journal* seeks to represent the broad spectrum of geographic perspectives on, and from, the region.

## Confidentiality

This is to be a "double blind" review, in which the reviewer and author are not identified to one another. As such, please do not include your name or other identifying information on your comments to the author. If you do wish, for some reason, to reveal your identity to the author, please let us know.

## General review guidelines

Please consider the following general questions when reviewing the paper:

- 1. Is the paper appropriate to the scope and quality standards of the Journal?
- 2. Is the title appropriate?
- 3. Does the paper represent an original, interesting and meaningful contribution to geographical research on Latin America?
- 4. Is the paper well-organized?
- 5. Are its objectives clearly articulated?
- 6. Are its methods adequately described and appropriate to the stated objectives?
- 7. Are its conclusions consistent with the stated objectives and well-supported?
- 8. Does the paper cite appropriate literature and provide proper credit to existing work on its topic?
- 9. What are the particular strengths and weaknesses of the paper, both in terms of content and form?
- 10. Is the paper concisely written? Should it be shortened and, if so, how?
- 11. Are figures appropriate to the content of the paper, helpful, and well-designed?

### **Specific review matters**

Some external reviewers prefer to make their comments and suggested changes evident to the author(s) by using WORD "tracking" which is often a very efficient method. We welcome such a methodology. Finally, in your cover message, which will be separated from your comments sent to the author: Do you recommend that the paper be:

- a) published as is
- b) published following minor revision (revise and resubmit)
- c) published following major revision (revise and resubmit) or
- d) rejected

Editor

Your assistance is very much appreciated.	Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions you may have
during the course of your review.	